arXiv:1702.08123v1 [math.PR] 27 Feb 2017

LOG-HARNACK INEQUALITIES FOR MARKOV SEMIGROUPS GENERATED BY NON-LOCAL GRUSCHIN TYPE OPERATORS

CHANG-SONG DENG AND SHAO-QIN ZHANG

Abstract. Based on coupling in two steps and the regularization approximations of the underlying subordinators, we establish log-Harnack inequalities for Markov semigroups generated by a class of non-local Gruschin type operators. Some concrete examples are also presented.

1. Introduction

The classical Gruschin semigroup on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with order $l > 0$ is generated by the differential operator

$$L(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(1)})^2} + |x^{(1)}|^{2l}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(2)})^2}\right].$$

The derivative formula of Bismut-Elworthy-Li's type (cf. [1, 7]) and log-Harnack inequality, first introduced in [9], have been investigated for the associated diffusion processes in [14] and [16], respectively. As a natural extension, let us consider the following non-local Gruschin type operator

operator

$$L_{\phi_1,\phi_2}(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\phi_1\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(1)})^2}\right) + |x^{(1)}|^{2l}\phi_2\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^{(2)})^2}\right)\right], \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi_1, \phi_2 : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ are two Bernstein functions (see [11]). The corresponding Markov process with jumps can be constructed by solving the degenerate SDE driven by subordinate Brownian motions

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1)} = \mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{S_1(t)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2)} = |X_t^{(1)}|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{S_2(t)}, \end{cases}$$

where $W_t^{(1)}$, $W_t^{(2)}$, $S_1(t)$, and $S_2(t)$ are independent processes such that each $W_t^{(i)}$ is a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion, and $S_i(t)$ is a subordinator (i.e. a non-decreasing Lévy process on $[0,\infty)$) determined by its Laplace transform which is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{e}^{-uS_i(t)} = \mathrm{e}^{-t\phi_i(u)}, \quad t \geq 0,\, u > 0.$$

Due to the importance both in theory and in applications, recently, there has been considerable interest in the study of discontinuous Markov processes. The central aim of this paper is to establish the log-Harnack inequality for Markov semigroups generated by the non-local Gruschin type operator (1.1). The log-Harnack inequality can be regarded as a weaker version of F.-Y. Wang's dimension-free Harnack inequality with power initialed in [12], and has been thoroughly investigated, especially for diffusion processes; the basic argument was a coupling by change of measure, see [13] and reference therein for recent

Date: February 28, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60J75, 60H10.

Key words and phrases. log-Harnack inequality, non-local operator, Gruschin semigroup, subordinator, coupling.

The first-named author gratefully acknowledges support through the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401442).

developments on Harnack type inequalities for various models. Since it is usually very difficult to construct successful coupling for non-linear SDEs driven by pure jump noises, we need some technique from the study for jump-diffusion processes. In this article, our tool is based on the coupling approach in [16] and the regularization approximations of time-changes used in [18, 15, 17, 3, 5].

For generality, we consider the following SDE for $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m+d} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ $(m, d \in \mathbb{N})$:

maineq
$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1)} = \sigma_t \, \mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{S_1(t)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2)} = b(t, X_t^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}t + |X_t^{(1)}|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{S_2(t)}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\sigma : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^m \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable and locally bounded, $b : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is measurable, locally bounded in the time variable $t \geq 0$ and continuous in the space variable $x^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $W_t^{(1)}$, $W_t^{(2)}$, $S_1(t)$, and $S_2(t)$ are independent processes such that

(i) $W_t^{(1)}$ and $W_t^{(2)}$ are standard Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$, respectively;
(ii) Each $S_i(t)$ is a subordinator with characteristic exponent (Bernstein function) ϕ_i.

We will assume the following conditions on σ and b:

(H1) For every $t \geq 0$, σ_t is invertible and there exists a non-decreasing function $\lambda : [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ such that $\|\sigma_t^{-1}\| \leq \lambda_t$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(H2) There exists a locally bounded measurable function $k : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\big\langle b(t, x^{(2)}) - b(t, y^{(2)}), x^{(2)} - y^{(2)} \big\rangle \leq k(t)|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2, \quad x^{(2)}, y^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, t \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that once $X_t^{(1)}$ is fixed, then **(H2)** implies the existence, uniqueness and non-explosion of the solution to the second equation in (1.2). For $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, denote by $X_t(x) = (X_t^{(1)}(x), X_t^{(2)}(x))$ the solution to (1.2) with $X_0 = x$. We aim to establish log-Harnack inequalities for the associated Markov semigroup P_t on $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$:

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f\big(X_t(x)\big), \quad t \geq 0, \, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}), \, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}.$$

In order to state our main result, we need the following notation:

$$K(s,t) := \int_s^t k(r) \, \mathrm{d}r, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

where k is the function appearing in **(H2)**.

main1 **Theorem 1.1.** *Let $l \in (0, m/2)$ and assume that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold. There is some constant $C = C(m,d,l) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T} \log f(y) &\leq \log P_{2T} f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2} \, \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}S_1(r) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + C \mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)} \Bigg\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}S_1(r) \right)^{-l} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left(\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \, \mathrm{d}S_2(s) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\Big[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \Big] \, \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}S_1(r) \right)^{-l} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}S_1(r) \right)^{-(l \wedge 1)} \right) \\ &\quad \times |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l \wedge 1)} \mathbb{E} \frac{\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \, \mathrm{d}S_2(s)}{\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \, \mathrm{d}S_2(s)} \Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1.

cor **Corollary 1.2.** *Let $\sigma = I_{m\times m}$, $b = 0$, and $l \in (0, m/2)$. There is some constant $C = C(m,d,l) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}\log f(y) &\leq \log P_{2T} f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2}\mathbb{E}S_1(T)^{-1} \\ &+ C\mathbb{E}S_2(T)^{-1} \cdot \bigg\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \mathbb{E}S_1(T)^{-l} \\ &+ \Big(\Big[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \Big] \mathbb{E}S_1(T)^{-l} + \mathbb{E}S_1(T)^{-(l\wedge 1)} \Big) |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \mathbb{E}S_2(T) \bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply our result to some concrete examples of subordinators.

ex1 **Example 1.3.** Let $\sigma = I_{m\times m}$, $b = 0$, and $l \in (0, m/2)$. Assume that S_1 is an α-stable subordinator with no drift and with Lévy measure $c_1 x^{-1-\alpha}\mathbb{1}_{\{x>0\}}\mathrm{d}x$, and S_2 is a truncated β-stable subordinator with no drift and with Lévy measure $c_2 x^{-1-\beta}\mathbb{1}_{\{0<x<1\}}\mathrm{d}x$, where $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ and $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are constants. Then there is some constant $C = C(m,d,l,\alpha,\beta,c_1,c_2) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}\log f(y) \leq \log P_{2T} f(x) + C\bigg\{ & T^{-1/\alpha}|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2 + T^{-1-l/\alpha}|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \\ & + \Big(\Big[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \Big] T^{-l/\alpha} + T^{-(l\wedge 1)/\alpha} \Big) |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

ex2 **Example 1.4.** Let $\sigma = I_{m\times m}$, $b = 0$, and $l \in (0, m/2)$. Assume that S_1 is an α-stable subordinator with no drift and with Lévy measure $c_1 x^{-1-\alpha}\mathbb{1}_{\{x>0\}}\,\mathrm{d}x$, and S_2 is a relativistic β-stable subordinator with no drift and with Lévy measure $c_2 \mathrm{e}^{-\rho^\beta x} x^{-1-\beta}\mathbb{1}_{\{x>0\}}\,\mathrm{d}x$, where $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ and $c_1, c_2, \rho > 0$ are constants. Then there is some constant $C = C(m,d,l,\alpha,\beta,c_1,c_2,\rho) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}\log f(y) \leq \log P_{2T} f(x) + C\bigg\{ & T^{-1/\alpha}|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2 + T^{-l/\alpha}\left(T^{-1/\beta} \vee T^{-1}\right)|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \\ & + \left(T^{1-1/\beta} \vee 1\right) \Big(\Big[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \Big] T^{-l/\alpha} + T^{-(l\wedge 1)/\alpha} \Big) |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. By using coupling in two steps and an approximation argument, we establish in Section 2 the log-Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by non-random time-changes. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Examples 1.3 and 1.4.

2. Log-Harnack inequalities under deterministic time-changes

sec2

For each $i \in \{1,2\}$, let $\ell_i : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a non-decreasing and càdlàg function with $\ell_i(0) = 0$. By **(H2)**, the following SDE for $X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2} = (X_t^{(1),\ell_1}, X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2})$ has a unique non-explosive solution:

changeeq
$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1),\ell_1} = \sigma_t\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1(t)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2} = b(t, X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2})\,\mathrm{d}t + |X_t^{(1),\ell_1}|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(t)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$P_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2} f(x) := \mathbb{E} f\big(X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big), \quad t \geq 0,\ f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d},$$

where $X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x) = (X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x), X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x))$ is the solution to (2.1) with $X_0^{\ell_1,\ell_2} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$.

change **Proposition 2.1.** *Let $l \in (0, m/2)$ and assume that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold. There is some constant $C = C(m, d, l) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2} \log f(y) &\leq \log P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2} f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1(r) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{C \mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}}{\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_2(s)} \Bigg\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1(r) \right)^{-l} \\ &\quad + \left(\left[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \right] \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1(r) \right)^{-l} + \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1(r) \right)^{-(l \wedge 1)} \right) \\ &\quad \times |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l \wedge 1)} \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_2(s) \Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

Following the line of [18, 15, 17, 3, 5], for $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$, we define

$$\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i} \int_t^{t+\varepsilon_i} \ell_i(s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon_i t = \int_0^1 \ell_i(\varepsilon_i s + t) \, \mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon_i t, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}, \, t \geq 0.$$

It is clear that $\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}$ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing with

app324
$$\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(t) \downarrow \ell_i(t) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon_i \downarrow 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, denote by $\gamma_i^{\varepsilon_i} : [\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(0), \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ the inverse function of $\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}$. By definition, $\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(\gamma_i^{\varepsilon_i}(t)) = t$ for $t \geq \ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(0)$, $\gamma_i^{\varepsilon_i}(\ell_i^{\varepsilon_i}(t)) = t$ for $t \geq 0$, and $t \mapsto \gamma_i^{\varepsilon_i}(t)$ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing.

Consider the approximation equation for $X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} = (X_t^{(1), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}, X_t^{(2), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}})$

aceq
$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t^{(1), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}} = \sigma_t \, \mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t) - \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}, \\ \mathrm{d}X_t^{(2), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} = b(t, X_t^{(2), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}) \, \mathrm{d}t + |X_t^{(1), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

Denote by $X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x) = (X_t^{(1), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x), X_t^{(2), \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x))$ the unique global solution to (2.3) with $X_0^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} = x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and let

$$P_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} f(x) := \mathbb{E} f\big(X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)\big), \quad t \geq 0, \, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}), \, x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}.$$

Note that (2.3) is indeed driven by Brownian motions and thus, as in [16], the method of coupling in two steps and Girsanov transformation can be used to establish the log-Harnack inequality for $P_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}$. Observe that the regular conditional probability $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ given $\mathscr{F}^{(1)}$ exists, where $\mathscr{F}^{(1)}$ is the σ-algebra generated by $\{B_t^{(1)} : t \geq 0\}$.

jhf5f **Lemma 2.2.** *Fix $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1]$, let $l \in (0, m/2)$, and assume that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold. There is some constant $C = C(m, d, l) > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}), y = (y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f \geq 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} \log f(y) &\leq \log P_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} f(x) + \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{C \mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}}{\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)} \Bigg\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r) \right)^{-l} \\ &\quad + \left(\left[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} \right] \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r) \right)^{-l} + \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r) \right)^{-(l \wedge 1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times |x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)}\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\Big\}.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps.

a) Fix $T>0$, $x=(x^{(1)},x^{(2)}), y=(y^{(1)},y^{(2)})\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ and let $Y_t^{(1)}$ solve the equation

kkuugg
$$\begin{cases}\mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)}=\sigma_t\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}+\xi_t^{(1)}\frac{X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}}{\left|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}\right|}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau^{(1)})}(t)\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t),\\ Y_0^{(1)}=y^{(1)}.\end{cases}\tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\xi_t^{(1)}:=\frac{|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|}{\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)}\lambda_t^{-2},$$

and

$$\tau^{(1)}:=\inf\left\{t\geq 0\,:\,X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)=Y_t^{(1)}\right\}$$

is the coupling time. Since

$$\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^m\ni(z,z')\mapsto\mathbb{1}_{\{z\neq z'\}}\frac{z-z'}{|z-z'|}\in\mathbb{R}^m$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous off the diagonal, the coupling $(X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x),Y_t^{(1)})$ is well-defined and unique for $t<\tau^{(1)}$. If $\tau^{(1)}<\infty$, we set $Y_t^{(1)}=X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)$ for $t\in[\tau^{(1)},\infty)$. In this way, we can construct a unique solution $(Y_t^{(1)})_{t\geq 0}$ to (2.4). By the differential formula

diffe12
$$\mathrm{d}|\zeta|=\mathbb{1}_{\{\zeta\neq 0\}}|\zeta|^{-1}\langle\zeta,\mathrm{d}\zeta\rangle,\tag{2.5}$$

we have for $t<\tau^{(1)}$ that

j4d2vd
$$\begin{aligned}\left|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}\right|&=|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|-\int_0^t\xi_s^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)\\&=\left(1-\frac{\int_0^t\lambda_s^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)}{\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)}\right)|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|.\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

Then it must be $\tau^{(1)}\leq T$. Indeed, if $\tau^{(1)}>T$, we can take $t=T$ in the above equality to get

$$0<|X_T^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_T^{(1)}|=0,$$

which is wrong. Let

$$\widetilde{W}_t^{(1)}=W_t^{(1)}+\int_0^t\eta_s^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}s\quad\text{and}\quad M_t^{(1)}=-\int_0^t\langle\eta_s^{(1)},\mathrm{d}W_s^{(1)}\rangle\quad\text{for } t\geq 0,$$

where

$$\eta_s^{(1)}:=\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau^{(1)})}\big(\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))\big)\,\xi^{(1)}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}\frac{\sigma^{-1}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}\big(X^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}(x)-Y^{(1)}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}\big)}{\left|X^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}(x)-Y^{(1)}_{\gamma_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s+\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0))}\right|}$$

for $s\geq 0$. By **(H1)**, we have for any $s\in[0,T]$

$$\left|\eta^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|\leq\xi_s^{(1)}\frac{|\sigma_s^{-1}(X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_s^{(1)})|}{|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_s^{(1)}|}\leq\frac{|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|}{\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)}\lambda_s^{-1},$$

which implies that the compensator of the martingale M_t satisfies

$$\langle M^{(1)}\rangle_{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)} = \int_0^T \left|\eta^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)}\right|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s) \le \frac{|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2}{\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)}.$$

This, together with Novikov's criterion, yields that $\mathbb{E}R^{(1)}=1$, where

$$R^{(1)} := \exp\left[M^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{(1)}\rangle_{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)}\right].$$

According to the Girsanov theorem, $(\widetilde{W}_t^{(1)})_{0\le t\le \ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)}$ is an m-dimensional Brownian motion under the weighted probability measure $R^{(1)}\mathbb{P}$. Thus,

entropy1

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[R^{(1)}\log R^{(1)}] &= \mathbb{E}_{R^{(1)}\mathbb{P}}\left[-\int_0^{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)} \eta_s^{(1)}\,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}_s^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\langle M^{(1)}\rangle_{\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(T)-\ell_1^{\epsilon_1}(0)}\right] \\ &\le \frac{|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2}{2}\left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

b) Consider the following SDE

fdex45

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(2)} = b(t,Y_t^{(2)})\,\mathrm{d}t + |Y_t^{(1)}|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +\xi_t^{(2)}\frac{X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_1^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}}{\left|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}\right|}\mathbb{1}_{[T,\tau^{(2)})}(t)\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t), \\ Y_0^{(2)} = y^{(2)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_t^{(2)} &:= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,t)}}{\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)}\left|X_T^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_T^{(2)}\right|, \quad t\ge 0, \\ \tau^{(2)} &:= \inf\left\{t\ge T\,:\, X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)=Y_t^{(2)}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $Y_t^{(1)}$ is now fixed, the equation (2.8) has a unique solution for $t<\tau^{(2)}$. Let $Y_t^{(2)} = X_t^{(2),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)$ for $t\in[\tau^{(2)},\infty)$. Thus, $Y_t^{(2)}$ solves (2.8) for all $t\ge 0$. Noting that $X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x) = Y_t^{(1)}$ for $t\ge T$, it follows from (2.5) and **(H2)** that for $t\in[T,\tau^{(2)})$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,t)} - \left|X_T^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_T^{(2)}\right| \\ &\quad= -\int_T^t \xi_s^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \int_T^t k(s)\left|X_s^{(2),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_s^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\qquad+\int_T^t \frac{\left\langle X_s^{(2),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_s^{(2)}, b(s,X_s^{(2),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x))-b(s,Y_s^{(2)})\right\rangle}{|X_s^{(2),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}-Y_s^{(2)}|}\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\quad\le -\int_T^t \xi_s^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{-K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in part a), it is easy to see that this implies $\tau^{(2)}\le 2T$. For $t\in[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)]$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let

$$\eta_t^{(2)} := \mathbb{1}_{[T,\tau^{(2)})}\big(\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))\big)\,\xi^{(2)}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}|Y^{(1)}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}|^{-l}\frac{X^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}(x)-Y^{(1)}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}}{\left|X^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}(x)-Y^{(1)}_{\gamma_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t+\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}\right|},$$

$$\eta_t^{(2),n} := \eta_t^{(2)}\mathbb{1}_{\{|\eta_t^{(2)}|\le n\}},$$

$$R_t^{(2)} := \exp\left[-\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^t \langle \eta_s^{(2)}, \mathrm{d}W_s^{(2)}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^t |\eta_s^{(2)}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right],$$

$$R_t^{(2),n} := \exp\left[-\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^t \langle \eta_s^{(2),n}, \mathrm{d}W_s^{(2)}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^t |\eta_s^{(2),n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right],$$

By the Girsanov theorem, under the weighted probability measure $R^{(2),n}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}$, the process

$$\widetilde{W}_t^{(2),n} := W_t^{(2)} + \int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^{t\vee(\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))} \eta_s^{(2),n}\,\mathrm{d}s, \quad t\ge 0,$$

is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion. Then we have for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\in[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0), \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big[R_t^{(2),n}\log R_t^{(2),n}\big] &= \mathbb{E}_{R_t^{(2),n}\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big[\log R_t^{(2),n}\big]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{R_t^{(2),n}\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\left[\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^t |\eta_s^{(2),n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right]\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{R_t^{(2),n}\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\left[\int_T^{2T} |\eta^{(2),n}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}|^2\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right]\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\left[R_t^{(2),n}\int_T^{2T} |\xi_s^{(2)}|^2|Y_s^{(1)}|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right]\\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\left[R_t^{(2),n}\big|X_T^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_T^{(2)}\big|^2\right]}{2\left(\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^2}\\
&\quad\times\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}|Y_s^{(1)}|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\\
&= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big|X_T^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_T^{(2)}\big|^2}{2\left(\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^2}\\
&\quad\times\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}|Y_s^{(1)}|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

ddaa12 (2.9)

where in the last equality we have used the fact that, for $t\in[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0), \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)]$, $R_t^{(2),n}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$ and $R^{(2),n}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}=1$. By Itô's formula, **(H2)** and the inequality

$$\big(|a|^l-|b|^l\big)^2 \le (l\vee 1)^2|a-b|^{2(l\wedge 1)}\left(|b-a|+|b|\right)^{2(l-1)^+}, \quad a,b\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

we get that for $t\in[0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{d}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}\big|^2\\
&= 2\big\langle X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}, b(t,X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x))-b(t,Y_t^{(2)})\big\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t\\
&\quad+2\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l-|Y_t^{(1)}|^l\big)\big\langle X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}\big\rangle\\
&\quad+d\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l-|Y_t^{(1)}|^l\big)^2\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)\\
&\le 2k(t)\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)}\big|^2\,\mathrm{d}t
\end{aligned}$$

$$+2\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l-|Y_t^{(1)}|^l\big)\big\langle X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_t^{(2)},\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}\big\rangle$$
$$+d(l\vee 1)^2\big|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}\big|^{2(l\wedge 1)}\big(\big|Y_t^{(1)}-X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)\big|+|Y_t^{(1)}|\big)^{2(l-1)^+}\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t).$$

Since it follows from (2.6) that $\big|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}\big|\le|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|$, this implies that for $t\in[0,T]$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,T)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big|X_T^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-Y_T^{(2)}\big|^2-|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2$$
$$\le d(l\vee1)^2\int_0^T\big|X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-Y_t^{(1)}\big|^{2(l\wedge1)}\big(\big|Y_t^{(1)}-X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)\big|+|Y_t^{(1)}|\big)^{2(l-1)^+}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)$$
$$\le d(l\vee1)^2|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge1)}\int_0^T\big(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|+|Y_t^{(1)}|\big)^{2(l-1)^+}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t).$$

Substituting this into (2.9), we know that for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\in[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)]$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big[R_t^{(2),n}\log R_t^{(2),n}\big]\cdot\frac{2\Big(\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\Big)^2}{\mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}|Y_s^{(1)}|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)}\le|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2$$
$$+d(l\vee1)^2|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge1)}\int_0^T\big(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|+|Y_t^{(1)}|\big)^{2(l-1)^+}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)$$

It is not hard to verify that this implies that for $t\in[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0),\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)]$, $R_t^{(2)}$ is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{(2)}$-martingale under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$, $R^{(2)}:=R^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(2T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}$ satisfies

entropy2
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\big[R^{(2)}\log R^{(2)}\big]\cdot\frac{2\Big(\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\Big)^2}{\mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}|Y_s^{(1)}|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)}\le|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2 \tag{2.10}$$
$$+d(l\vee1)^2|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge1)}\int_0^T\big(|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|+|Y_t^{(1)}|\big)^{2(l-1)^+}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,t)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)$$

and under the weighted probability measure $R^{(2)}\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})$,

$$\widetilde{W}_t^{(2)}:=W_t^{(2)}+\int_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}^{t\vee(\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(T)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0))}\eta_s^{(2)}\,\mathrm{d}s,\quad t\ge0,$$

is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion.

c) Let $R=R^{(1)}R^{(2)}$. Since for any $s\ge0$ the distribution of $Y_s^{(1)}$ under $R^{(1)}\mathbb{P}$ coincides with that of $X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)$ under $\mathbb{P}$, it follows from (2.7) and (2.10) that

$$\mathbb{E}[R\log R]=\mathbb{E}\big\{R^{(1)}\log R^{(1)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}R^{(2)}\big\}+\mathbb{E}\big\{R^{(1)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}[R^{(2)}\log R^{(2)}]\big\}$$
$$=\mathbb{E}[R^{(1)}\log R^{(1)}]+\mathbb{E}_{R^{(1)}\mathbb{P}}\big\{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}[R^{(2)}\log R^{(2)}]\big\}$$
dfs3w1x4
$$\le\frac{|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^2}{2}\bigg(\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\bigg)^{-1}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}}{2\Big(\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\Big)^2} \tag{2.11}$$
$$\times\Big(|x^{(2)}-y^{(2)}|^2\mathbb{E}I_1+d(l\vee1)^2|x^{(1)}-y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge1)}\mathbb{E}[I_1I_2]\Big),$$

where

$$I_1:=\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{-2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)$$

and

$$I_2 := \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \big(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| + |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|\big)^{2(l-1)^+} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s).$$

It follows from the elementary inequality

jh5dc4 (2.12)
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^r \le n^{(r-1)^+} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},\ a_i \ge 0,\ r \ge 0$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \left(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}| + |x^{(1)}| + \left|\int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|\right)^{2(l-1)^+} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \\ &\le 3^{(2l-3)^+} \left(|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+}\right) \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) + 3^{(2l-3)^+} I_3 \\ &\le 3^{(2l-3)^+} 2^{1+(2l-3)^+} \left(|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+}\right) \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) + 3^{(2l-3)^+} I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_3 := \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \left|\int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2(l-1)^+} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s).$$

Observe the fact that for any $\theta \in (0, m/2)$, there exists $c = c(m,\theta) > 0$ depending only on m and θ such that

j11g6fcd (2.13)
$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{-2\theta} &= \mathbb{E}\left|x^{(1)} + \int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{-2\theta} \\ &\le c\left(\int_0^s \|\sigma_r\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-\theta} \\ &\le c\left(\int_0^s \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $s > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$. This yields that for some $c_1 = c_1(m,l) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}I_1 &\le c_1 \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \left(\int_0^s \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \\ &\le c_1 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $l < m/2$, we can pick $p = p(l) > 1$ such that $pl < m/2$. It follows from the Hölder inequality and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}I_1^p &= \mathbb{E}\left(\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{p}K(T,s)} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2}{p}K(T,s)} |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{-2l} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^p \\ &\le \left(\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{p-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{-2pl} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right] \\ &\le \left(\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{p-1} \cdot c_2 \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \left(\int_0^s \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-pl} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \\ &\le c_2 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-pl} \left(\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_2 = c_2(m,p,l) > 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} I_3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} &= \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2}{p}K(0,s)} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2(p-1)}{p}K(0,s)} \left|\int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2(l-1)^+} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
&\le \left(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \left|\int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(s)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{\frac{2p}{p-1}(l-1)^+} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right] \\
&\le \left(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot c_3 \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \left(\int_0^s \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}(l-1)^+} \mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \\
&\le c_3 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}(l-1)^+} \left(\int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $c_3 = c_3(m,p,l) > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[I_1 I_3] &\le (\mathbb{E} I_1^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E} I_3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\le c_2^{\frac{1}{p}} c_3^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-(l\wedge 1)} \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \cdot \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we get that for some positive constant $C = C(m,d,l)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \mathbb{E} I_1 + d(l \vee 1)^2 |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \mathbb{E}[I_1 I_2] \\
&\le 2C|x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \\
&\quad + 2C\left(\left[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+}\right]\left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} + \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-(l\wedge 1)}\right) \\
&\qquad \times |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \cdot \int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this expression into (2.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[R \log R] &\le \frac{|x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^2}{2} \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{C\mathrm{e}^{2K(0,T)}}{\int_T^{2T} \mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)} \Bigg\{ |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|^2 \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} \\
&\qquad + \left(\left[|x^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+} + |y^{(1)}|^{2(l-1)^+}\right]\left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-l} + \left(\int_0^T \lambda_r^{-2} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{-(l\wedge 1)}\right) \\
&\qquad\quad \times |x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}|^{2(l\wedge 1)} \int_0^T \mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)} \,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) \Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

d) By the Jensen inequality, we have for any random variable $F \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[R \log \frac{F}{R}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\left[\log \frac{F}{R}\right] \le \log \mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{F}{R}\right] = \log \mathbb{E} F,$$

hence

young
$$\mathbb{E}\left[R \log F\right] \le \log \mathbb{E} F + \mathbb{E}\left[R \log R\right]. \tag{2.14}$$

Noting that $Y_t = (Y_t^{(1)}, Y_t^{(2)})$ solves the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(1)} = \sigma_t \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}, \\ Y_0^{(1)} = y^{(1)}, \\ \mathrm{d}Y_t^{(2)} = b(t, Y_t^{(2)})\,\mathrm{d}t + |Y_t^{(1)}|^l \,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{W}^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} \\ Y_0^{(2)} = y^{(2)}, \end{cases}$$

and under the weighted probability measure $R\mathbb{P}$, $\widetilde{W}_t^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{W}_t^{(2)}$ are independent standard Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$, respectively, we conclude that the distribution of Y_{2T} under $R\mathbb{P}$ coincides with that of $X_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(y)$ under $\mathbb{P}$. Therefore, we obtain from $Y_{2T} = X_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)$ and (2.14) that for any $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$ with $f \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} \log f(y) &= \mathbb{E} \log f\big(X_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(y)\big) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{R\mathbb{P}} \log f(Y_{2T}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\big[R \log f\big(X_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)\big)\big] \\ &\leq \log \mathbb{E} f\big(X_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)\big) + \mathbb{E}[R \log R] \\ &= \log P_{2T}^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}} f(x) + \mathbb{E}[R \log R]. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with the last estimate in c), completes the proof of the log-Harnack inequality. □

To prove Proposition 2.1 by using Lemma 2.2, we need some preparations. First, the following Burkholder-Davis-Gundy type inequality is essentially due to [8, Lemma 2.3]. For the reader's convenience, we include a simple proof.

BDG **Lemma 2.3.** *Let $\varrho : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing càdlàg function with $\varrho(0) = 0$. For any $p > 0$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ depending only on p such that for any $t > 0$*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \left| \int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\varrho(r)} \right|^p \right] \leq C_p \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma_r\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\varrho(r) \right)^{p/2}.$$

Proof. Fix $t > 0$. Let $g : [0,t] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function. By [19, Lemma 4.2], one has

$$\int_0^t g(r)\,\mathrm{d}\varrho(r) = \int_0^{\varrho(t)} g\big(\varrho^{-1}(u)\big)\,\mathrm{d}u,$$

where $\varrho^{-1}(u) := \inf\{s \geq 0 : \varrho(s) > u\}$. A similar argument shows that

$$\int_0^t g(r)\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\varrho(r)} = \int_0^{\varrho(t)} g\big(\varrho^{-1}(u)\big)\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_u.$$

Then by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \left| \int_0^s \sigma_r \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\varrho(r)} \right|^p \right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \left| \int_0^{\varrho(s)} \sigma_{\varrho^{-1}(u)} \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_u \right|^p \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,\varrho(t)]} \left| \int_0^{s} \sigma_{\varrho^{-1}(u)} \,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_u \right|^p \right] \\ &\leq C_p \left(\int_0^{\varrho(t)} \big\|\sigma_{\varrho^{-1}(u)}\big\|^2 \,\mathrm{d}u \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= C_p \left(\int_0^t \|\sigma_r\|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\varrho(r) \right)^{p/2}. \qquad \square$$

The following two assumptions will be used:

(A1) σ is piecewise constant, i.e. there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_n \uparrow \infty$ such that

$$\sigma_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{[t_{n-1}, t_n)}(t) \sigma_{t_{n-1}};$$

(A2) $b_t : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is, uniformly for t in compact intervals, global Lipschitz, i.e. for any $t > 0$, there is some $C_t > 0$ such that

$$|b_s(x^{(2)}) - b_s(y^{(2)})| \leq C_t |x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}|, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t, \, x^{(2)}, y^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

kjh5fc3 **Lemma 2.4.** *Assume* **(A1)**. *Then for any $l > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ and $t > 0$,*

kj65fh (2.15)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1 \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \big| X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x) - X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x) \big|^2 = 0,$$

kj23sdc (2.16)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1 \downarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_2 \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $l > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ and $t > 0$. It is not hard to obtain from **(A1)** that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big| X_t^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x) - X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x) \big| \\ &\quad \leq \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\sigma_s\| \left(\big| W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t) - \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)} - W^{(1)}_{\ell_1(t)} \big| + 2 \sum_{n:\, t_n < t} \big| W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t_n) - \ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)} - W^{(1)}_{\ell_1(t_n)} \big| \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.2), implies (2.15).

By the isometry property of stochastic integrals, we have

df3wa (2.17)
$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 \\ &\quad \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 \\ &\qquad + 2\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 \\ &\quad = 2\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 \right] \\ &\qquad + 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left(|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l - |X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l \right)^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_2(s) \\ &\quad =: 2I_1(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) + 2I_2(\varepsilon_1). \end{aligned}$$

According to [18, Lemma 2.3 (i)],

hgf5f (2.18)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2 \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2 = 0.$$

Using (2.12), we find that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_2 \in (0,1]} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})} \left| \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s) - \ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)} - \int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l \, \mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)} \right|^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le 2\sup_{\varepsilon_2\in(0,1]}\int_0^T |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)+2\int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{2l}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2(s)\\
&\le 2^{1+(2l-1)^+}\sup_{\varepsilon_2\in(0,1]}\int_0^t\left(|x^{(1)}|^{2l}+\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2l}\right)\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)\\
&\quad+2^{1+(2l-1)^+}\int_0^t\left(|x^{(1)}|^{2l}+\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2l}\right)\mathrm{d}\ell_2(s)\\
&\le 2^{1+(2l-1)^+}\left(\sup_{\varepsilon_2\in(0,1]}\left[\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(t)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)\right]+\ell_2(t)\right)\left(|x^{(1)}|^{2l}+\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2l}\right)\\
&\le 2^{1+(2l-1)^+}\left(\ell_2^1(t)+\ell_2(t)\right)\left(|x^{(1)}|^{2l}+\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^{2l}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with Lemma 2.3, yields

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\varepsilon_2\in(0,1]}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\cdot|\mathscr{F}^{(1)})}\left|\int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}-\int_0^t |X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)}\right|^2\right]<\infty.
$$

Then it follows from the dominated convergence theorem and (2.18) that

limit1
$$
\lim_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0} I_1(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)=0. \tag{2.19}
$$

Next, we obtain from (2.12) and Lemma 2.3 that for any $q\ge 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\varepsilon_1\in(0,1],s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^q &\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\sup_{\varepsilon_1\in(0,1],s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)}\right|^q\\
&\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\sup_{\varepsilon_1\in(0,1]}\left(\int_0^t \|\sigma_r\|^2\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)\right)^{q/2}\\
&\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\sup_{r\in[0,t]}\|\sigma_r\|^q\cdot\sup_{\varepsilon_1\in(0,1]}\left[\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(t)-\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(0)\right]^{q/2}\\
&\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\sup_{r\in[0,t]}\|\sigma_r\|^q\cdot\left[\ell_1^1(t)\right]^{q/2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^q &\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^s \sigma_r\,\mathrm{d}W^{(1)}_{\ell_1(r)}\right|^q\\
&\le 2^{(q-1)^+}|x^{(1)}|^q+2^{(q-1)^+}\|\sigma\|^q_{L^2([0,t];\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that for any $q\ge 0$

$$
C_{x,q,t}:=\max\left\{\sup_{\varepsilon_1\in(0,1],s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^q,\ \sup_{s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^q\right\}<\infty.
$$

Using the elementary inequality

$$
\left|a^l-b^l\right|\le\frac{l\vee 2}{2}|a-b|^{l\wedge 1}\left(a^{(l-1)^+}+b^{(l-1)^+}\right),\quad a,b\ge 0,
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4}{(l\vee 2)^2}\mathbb{E}\left(|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l-|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\right)^2\\
&\le\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)\right|^{l\wedge 1}\left(|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{(l-1)^+}+|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^{(l-1)^+}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\le \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\big|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)\big|^{2(l\wedge 1)}}\left(\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^{2(l-1)^+}}+\sqrt{\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^{2(l-1)^+}}\right)$$
$$\le 2\sqrt{C_{x,2(l-1)^+,t}}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\big|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)-X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)\big|^{2(l\wedge 1)}},$$

which, together with the dominated convergence theorem and (2.15), yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0} I_2(\varepsilon_1)=\int_0^t \lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\left(|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l-|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_2(s)=0.$$

Combining this with (2.17) and (2.19), we get (2.16). □

Lemma 2.5. *Assume* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)**. *Then for any* $l>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ *and* $t>0$,

fg34sd
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2=0. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. Fix $l>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ and $t>0$. It follows easily from **(A2)** that

df34a
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2 &\le 2tC_t^2\int_0^t\mathbb{E}\big|X_s^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_s^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad+2\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t|X_s^{(1),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}}(x)|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)-\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(0)}-\int_0^t|X_s^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\,\mathrm{d}W^{(2)}_{\ell_2(s)}\right|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Since by **(A2)** $z\mapsto\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|b_s(z)|$ grows at most linearly, it is not hard to verify that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\in(0,1],\,s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)|^2<\infty,\quad \sup_{s\in[0,t]}\mathbb{E}|X_s^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)|^2<\infty.$$

Letting first $\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0$ and then $\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0$ in (2.21), and using the Fatou lemma and (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\\ &\qquad\le 2tC_t^2\int_0^t\limsup_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\mathbb{E}\big|X_s^{(2),\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_s^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with Gronwall's inequality, yields the claim. □

uniform **Lemma 2.6.** *Assume* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)**, *and let* $h:\mathbb{R}^{m+d}\to\mathbb{R}$ *be a bounded and uniformly continuous function. Then for any* $t>0$ *and* $x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}P_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}h(x)=P_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}h(x).$$

Proof. Fix $t>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$. It follows from (2.15) and (2.20) that

hg54cf
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\big|X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2=0. \tag{2.22}$$

Since h is uniformly continuous, for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta=\delta(\epsilon)>0$ such that

$$|h(y)-h(z)|<\epsilon\quad\text{provided } |y-z|<\delta.$$

Therefore, we obtain from Chebyshev's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|P_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}h(x)-P_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}h(x)\big|\\ &\qquad\le\mathbb{E}\left[\big|h\big(X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)\big)-h\big(X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big)\big|\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left|X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\right|<\delta\right\}}\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$+\mathbb{E}\left[\left|h\big(X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)\big)-h\big(X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big)\right|\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left|X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\right|\geq\delta\right\}}\right]$$
$$<\epsilon+2\|h\|_\infty\frac{\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}(x)-X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2}{\delta^2}.$$

Letting first $\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0$ and then $\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0$, and using (2.22), we get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\left|P_t^{\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1},\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}}h(x)-P_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}h(x)\right|\leq\epsilon,$$

which implies the claim since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary. □

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Fix $T>0$. By a standard approximation argument, we may and do assume that f is bounded and uniformly continuous with $f\geq 1$.

Step 1: Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. Since ℓ_i is of bounded variation, it is not hard to verify from (2.2) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0}\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1^{\varepsilon_1}(r)=\int_0^T\lambda_r^{-2}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1(r),\quad\lim_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)=\int_T^{2T}\mathrm{e}^{-2K(T,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2(s),$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0}\int_0^T\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2^{\varepsilon_2}(s)=\int_0^T\mathrm{e}^{-2K(0,s)}\,\mathrm{d}\ell_2(s).$$

Letting first $\varepsilon_2\downarrow 0$ and then $\varepsilon_1\downarrow 0$ in Lemma 2.2, and using Lemma 2.6, we get the desired log-Harnack inequality.

Step 2: Assume **(A2)**. Clearly, we can pick a sequence of $\mathbb{R}^m\otimes\mathbb{R}^m$-valued functions $\{\sigma^{(n)}:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ on $[0,\infty)$ such that each $\sigma^{(n)}$ is piecewise constant, $\|(\sigma_t^{(n)})^{-1}\|\leq\lambda_t$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\in[0,2T]$, and $\sigma^{(n)}\to\sigma$ in $L^2([0,2T];\mathrm{d}\ell_1)$ as $n\to\infty$. Let $X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)=(X_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x),X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x))$ solve (2.1) with σ replaced by $\sigma^{(n)}$ and $X_0^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)=x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and denote by $P_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}$ the associated Markov semigroup. By *Step 1*, the statement of Proposition 2.1 holds with $P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ replaced by $P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}$. We have

sdes23s
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x)-X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x)\big|^2=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^{2T}\big(\sigma_t^{(n)}-\sigma_t\big)\,\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_1(t)}^{(1)}\right|^2$$
$$=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^{2T}\big\|\sigma_t^{(n)}-\sigma_t\big\|^2\,\mathrm{d}\ell_1(t)=0. \tag{2.23}$$

It holds from **(A2)** that

$$\big|X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|\leq C_{2T}\int_0^{2T}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|\,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$+\int_0^{2T}\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x)|^l-|X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\big)\,\mathrm{d}t,$$

which implies

d3esc45r
$$\mathbb{E}\big|X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\leq 4TC_{2T}^2\int_0^{2T}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$+4T\int_0^{2T}\mathbb{E}\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x)|^l-|X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\big)^2\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.24}$$

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we can deduce from (2.23) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^{2T}\mathbb{E}\big(|X_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x)|^l-|X_t^{(1),\ell_1}(x)|^l\big)^2\,\mathrm{d}t=0.$$

Letting $n\to\infty$ in (2.24) and using the Fatou Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big|X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\\ \le 4TC_{2T}^2\int_0^{2T}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big|X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2\,\mathrm{d}t,\end{aligned}$$

which, together with Gronwall's inequality, gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\big|X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)-X_{2T}^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)\big|^2=0.$$

Then we conclude that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, $X_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)\to X_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, and hence (up to a subsequence)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}f=P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}f\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}\log f=P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}\log f.$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, the desired inequality in Proposition 2.1 holds.

Step 3: For the general case, we shall make use of the approximation argument in [15, part (c) of proof of Theorem 2.1]. Let

$$\tilde{b}_t(z):=b_t(z)-k(t)z,\quad t\ge0,\,z\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

By **(H2)**, it is easy to see that the mapping $\mathrm{id}-n^{-1}\tilde{b}_t:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ is injective for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $t\ge0$. Let

$$b_t^{(n)}(z):=n\left[\left(\mathrm{id}-n^{-1}\tilde{b}_t\right)^{-1}(z)-z\right]+k(t)z,\quad n\in\mathbb{N},\,t\ge0,\,z\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then $b_t^{(n)}$ is, uniformly for t in compact intervals, globally Lipschitzian, see [2]. Let $\bar{X}_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)=(\bar{X}_t^{(1),\ell_1,n}(x),\bar{X}_t^{(2),\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x))$ solve (2.1) with b replaced by $b^{(n)}$ and $\bar{X}_0^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)=x\in\mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, and denote by $\bar{P}_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}$ the associated Markov operator. According to the second part of the prrof, the statements of Proposition 2.1 hold with $P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}$ replaced by $\bar{P}_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}$. As in [15, part (c) of proof of Theorem 2.1], one has $\bar{X}_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2,n}(x)\to X_t^{\ell_1,\ell_2}(x)$ a.s. and therefore, it remains to let $n\to\infty$ to finish the proof. □

3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Examples 1.3 and 1.4

sec3

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Noting that

$$P_{2T}f=\mathbb{E}\left[P_{2T}^{\ell_1,\ell_2}f(\cdot)\Big|_{\substack{\ell_1=S_1\\ \ell_2=S_2}}\right],\quad f\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}),$$

we get the desired log-Harnack inequality by using Proposition 2.1 and the Jensen inequality. □

Proof of Example 1.3. By the self-similar property of α stable subordinators, one has

moment1 (3.1) $$\mathbb{E}S_1(T)^{-\kappa}=T^{-\kappa/\alpha}\mathbb{E}S_1(1)^{-\kappa},\quad T>0,\,\kappa>0.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

moment2 (3.2) $$\mathbb{E}S_2(T)=T\mathbb{E}S_2(1)<\infty,\quad T>0,$$

see e.g. [10, Lemma 3.10]. Since $\frac{\mathrm{e}-1}{\mathrm{e}}(1\wedge z)\le 1-\mathrm{e}^{-z}\le 1\wedge z$ for all $z\ge 0$, we get that for all $u>0$

$$\int_{(0,1)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-ux}\right)x^{-1-\beta}\,\mathrm{d}x \asymp u\int_0^1 x^{-\beta}\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{u}{1-\beta}.$$

Here, $f\asymp g$ means that $c^{-1}f(u)\le g(u)\le cf(u)$ for some constant $c\ge 1$ and all u. This, together with [4, Theorem 3.8 (a)] (or [6, Theorem 2.1 b)]), yields that for some constant $C_{\beta,c_2}>0$

$$\mathbb{E}S_2(T)^{-1}\le C_{\beta,c_2}T^{-1},\quad T>0.$$

Combining the above estimates with Corollary 1.2, we finish the proof. □

Proof of Example 1.4. Since S_2 has finite second moments, (3.2) holds true. Since the characteristic exponent of S_2 is $\phi_2(u)=c_2\beta^{-1}\Gamma(1-\beta)[(u+\rho^{1/\beta})^\beta-\rho]$, we obtain from [6, Theorem 2.1 b)] that

$$\mathbb{E}S_2(T)^{-1}\le C_{\beta,c_2,\rho}\left(T^{-1/\beta}\vee T^{-1}\right)=C_{\beta,c_2,\rho}T^{-1}\left(T^{1-1/\beta}\vee 1\right),\quad T>0$$

for some constant $C_{\beta,c_2,\rho}>0$. Inserting this bound, (3.1) and (3.2) into Corollary 1.2, the desired estimate follows. □

References

[1] J.M. Bismut: *Large Deviations and the Malliavin Calculus*. Birkhäuser, Boston 1984.

[2] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang: Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009) 992–1017.

[3] C.-S. Deng: Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by subordinate Brownian motions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **417** (2014) 970–978.

[4] C.-S. Deng, R.L. Schilling: On shift Harnack inequalities for subordinate semigroups and moment estimates for Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **125** (2015) 3851–3878.

[5] C.-S. Deng, R.L. Schilling: Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by time-changed fractional Brownian motions. *Preprint*, arXiv: 1609.00885.

[6] C.-S. Deng, R.L. Schilling, Y.-H. Song: Sub-geometric rates of convergence for Markov processes under subordination. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, in press, accesible on arXiv: 1511.01264v2.

[7] K.D. Elworthy, X.-M. Li: Formulae for the derivatives of heat semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **125** (1994) 252–286.

[8] S. Kusuoka: Malliavin calculus for stochastic differential equations driven by subordinated Brownian motions. *Kyoto J. Math.* **50** (2009) 491–520.

[9] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang: Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences. *Inf. Dim. Anal. Quantum Probab. Rel. Top.* **13** (2010) 27–37.

[10] R.L. Schilling: *An Introduction to Lévy and Feller Processes*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics-CRM Barcelona 2014, Birkhäuser, Cham 2016, accesible on arXiv: 1603.00251.

[11] R.L. Schilling, R. Song, Z. Vondraček: *Bernstein Functions. Theory and Applications* (2nd edn). De Gruyter, Studies in Mathematics 37, Berlin, 2012.

[12] F.-Y. Wang: Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **109** (1997) 417–424.

[13] F.-Y. Wang: *Harnack Inequalities for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York 2013.

[14] F.-Y. Wang: Derivative formula and gradient estimates for Gruschin type semigroups. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **27** (2014) 80–95.

[15] F.-Y. Wang, J. Wang: Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **410** (2014) 513–523.

[16] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu: Log-Harnack inequality for Gruschin type semigroups. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **30** (2014) 405–418.

[17] L. Wang, X. Zhang: Harnack inequalities for SDEs driven by cylindrical α-stable processes. *Potential Anal.* **42** (2015) 657–669.

[18] X. Zhang: Derivative formula and gradient estimates for SDEs driven by α-stable processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **123** (2013) 1213–1228.

[19] X. Zhang: Fundamentalsolution of kinetic Fokker-Planck operator with anisotropic nonlocal dissipativity. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **46** (2014) 2254–2280.

(C.-S. Deng) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

E-mail address: dengcs@whu.edu.cn

(S.-Q. Zhang) School of Statistics and Mathematics, Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing 100081, China

E-mail address: zhangsq@cufe.edu.cn